

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JUN 27 2005

**FACSIMILE**

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1840 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314  
USA

(703) 413-3000  
(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW  
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

<b>TO</b>	Examiner Paul H. Kang	6/27/05
NAME	U.S. PTO	DATE
COMPANY/FIRM		FAX #
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:	2	CONFIRM FAX: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
<b>FROM</b>	Edwin D. Garlepp	202305US2X
NAME	703-412-5920	OUR REFERENCE
DIRECT PHONE #		YOUR REFERENCE

**MESSAGE**

Mr. Kang,

Further to our telephone discussion on June 27, 2005, attached please find a summary of issues to be discussed in our personal interview.

---

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

---

Interview Agenda for Application Serial No. 09/839,221

I. Applicants would like to explain in detail the distinction of the claimed invention over the primary reference to Parry.

A. Parry does not transfer documents between an application service provider and a user. Simple web access of an ASP as taught by Parry does a "transfer of documents" as this term is used in the claims.

B. Parry does not disclose determining whether an ASP document is a storage document or a retrieval document as recited in the claims. This feature is not inherent in the accessing of an ASP to facilitate a site search of a customer server as taught by Parry.

C. Parry does not disclose establishing a predetermined time for transferring an ASP document. The "spider" feature in Parry does not relate to transferring a document from or to an ASP as required by the claim.

II. The newly cited reference to Hyakutake et al. is not prior art. This cited reference has the identical inventive entity as the present application. Therefore, Hyakutake et al. is not "by another" and therefore does not qualify as prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

If you have any questions or comments please feel free to contact me at anytime.

Edwin D. Garlepp